Speculative Equations for MOND: Exploring Theoretical Extensions from Tensor-Vector-Scalar Gravity to Quantum Emergent Models

Douglas C. Youvan

doug@youvan.com

February 21, 2025

The missing mass problem in astrophysics, traditionally explained by the presence of dark matter, remains one of the most significant unresolved questions in modern physics. While the standard ΛCDM model successfully accounts for large-scale cosmic structures, it relies on an unseen dark matter component that has yet to be directly detected. As an alternative, Modified Newtonian Dynamics (MOND) was proposed by Mordehai Milgrom in 1983, introducing a modification to Newton's second law at low accelerations. MOND effectively explains galactic rotation curves and the baryonic Tully-Fisher relation but struggles with large-scale cosmology and galaxy cluster dynamics. This paper explores speculative equations extending MOND, covering a range of theoretical frameworks from empirical formulations and relativistic extensions (such as Tensor-Vector-Scalar (TeVeS) gravity) to entropic and holographic gravity approaches. Additionally, we investigate quantum-inspired models, including superfluid dark matter and potential links to quantum gravity. These speculative models attempt to reconcile MOND with general relativity and cosmology, offering insights into the fundamental nature of gravity. By examining these diverse perspectives, we aim to assess whether MOND can provide a viable alternative to dark matter or if a new paradigm is needed.

Keywords: MOND, Modified Newtonian Dynamics, TeVeS, emergent gravity, entropic gravity, holographic MOND, superfluid dark matter, quantum gravity, relativistic MOND, baryonic Tully-Fisher relation, gravitational lensing, cosmic structure formation, Bullet Cluster, dark matter alternatives, scalar-tensor-vector gravity, loop quantum gravity, AdS/CFT correspondence. 45 pages.

Abstract

Modified Newtonian Dynamics (MOND) was originally proposed by Mordehai Milgrom as an alternative to dark matter, aiming to explain the anomalous rotation curves of galaxies. The theory modifies Newton's second law at extremely low accelerations, introducing a characteristic acceleration scale, a0a_0a0, below which gravitational dynamics deviate from the predictions of Newtonian mechanics and General Relativity. While MOND successfully describes galaxy rotation curves without invoking dark matter, it lacks a fundamental theoretical foundation and a fully relativistic formulation that can explain cosmological phenomena consistently.

This paper explores various speculative equations that extend MOND, spanning empirical formulations, relativistic modifications, and quantum-inspired approaches. We begin by presenting the classical MOND equation, its different interpolating functions, and the modified Poisson equation introduced by Bekenstein and Milgrom. We then examine relativistic extensions, including the Tensor-Vector-Scalar (TeVeS) theory, generalized Einstein-Æther models, and alternative metric-based modifications of gravity.

Beyond classical and relativistic formulations, we delve into modern interpretations of MOND grounded in emergent and entropic gravity theories, including Erik Verlinde's entropic gravity framework, which seeks to derive MOND-like behavior from holographic principles. Additionally, we explore speculative quantum gravity approaches, such as the possibility that MOND arises from quantum entanglement, as well as superfluid dark matter models that mimic MOND phenomenology.

While MOND provides a compelling alternative to dark matter at galactic scales, its application to cosmology, gravitational lensing, and large-scale structure formation remains an open challenge. We discuss ongoing theoretical debates, observational constraints, and potential experimental tests that could distinguish MOND from the prevailing ΛCDM paradigm. This work aims to provide a comprehensive overview of speculative MOND equations and their implications for fundamental physics, while also outlining possible future directions for research in modified gravity theories.

1. Introduction

1.1 The Dark Matter Problem and Galaxy Rotation Curves

The standard model of cosmology, the Λ Cold Dark Matter (ΛCDM) paradigm, is built upon the assumption that most of the Universe's matter exists in an unseen form called dark matter. This hypothesis was first suggested to explain discrepancies in galactic rotation curves—observations showing that stars and gas in the outer regions of galaxies orbit at nearly constant speeds, rather than decreasing as predicted by Newtonian mechanics and General Relativity. The issue was first noted by Fritz Zwicky in the 1930s when analyzing the velocity dispersion of galaxy clusters and later reinforced by Vera Rubin and Kent Ford's work in the 1970s, which confirmed the presence of an unseen mass component influencing galactic dynamics.

Dark matter remains one of the greatest mysteries in modern physics. Despite decades of efforts to detect dark matter particles directly or indirectly, no definitive evidence has emerged. Alternative explanations, including modifications to gravity, have been proposed to account for the observed discrepancies in galactic rotation curves without invoking non-baryonic dark matter.

1.2 The Motivation for MOND as an Alternative to Dark Matter

In 1983, Mordehai Milgrom proposed an alternative explanation known as Modified Newtonian Dynamics (MOND). Instead of introducing a new form of matter, MOND suggests that Newton's second law of motion, F=ma, is modified at extremely low accelerations. In MOND, the transition between Newtonian and modified gravity occurs at a characteristic acceleration scale, a_0, approximately $1.2\times10{-}10\ m/s^2$. Below this threshold, the gravitational force deviates from the standard inverse-square law and scales differently with acceleration.

The empirical success of MOND is striking at galactic scales: it naturally explains the flatness of rotation curves, the baryonic Tully-Fisher relation (which correlates a galaxy's mass with its rotation velocity), and several other galactic-scale observations, often with fewer free parameters than dark matter models. However, MOND struggles to explain large-scale structure formation,

gravitational lensing, and cosmic microwave background (CMB) anisotropies without additional modifications.

One of the most significant challenges for MOND is formulating a fully relativistic version that can account for all gravitational phenomena, including strong gravitational lensing and the observed dynamics of galaxy clusters. Several relativistic extensions, such as Bekenstein's Tensor-Vector-Scalar (TeVeS) theory, have been proposed, but each comes with its own theoretical and observational difficulties.

1.3 Overview of Speculative MOND-Like Equations and Their Theoretical Implications

While MOND's empirical formulation provides a useful phenomenological model, its lack of a fundamental theoretical underpinning has led to various speculative extensions. These include:

- Empirical MOND Formulations: The original MOND equations modify Newton's laws using an interpolating function, $\mu(x)$, which governs the transition between Newtonian and MOND regimes. Different choices for $\mu(x)$ impact the theory's predictive power and observational fit.
- Bekenstein-Milgrom Modified Poisson Equation: A more rigorous approach extends MOND into a modified field equation that replaces the standard Poisson equation of Newtonian gravity. This approach allows for the derivation of galaxy rotation curves from a modified gravitational potential.
- Relativistic Extensions (TeVeS and Beyond): The introduction of additional fields—such as scalar, vector, or bimetric fields—seeks to integrate MOND into a relativistic framework, making it compatible with gravitational lensing and cosmology.
- Entropic and Holographic MOND: Erik Verlinde's emergent gravity suggests that gravity itself arises from entropic principles, with MOND-like effects emerging naturally from the structure of spacetime. Other approaches link MOND with holographic theories and the AdS/CFT correspondence.

- Quantum MOND-Like Theories: The possibility that MOND is an emergent effect of quantum mechanics, including ideas related to quantum entanglement, superfluid dark matter models, and fundamental modifications of gravity inspired by loop quantum gravity and string theory.

The goal of this paper is to explore these speculative equations and their theoretical foundations. We will examine their successes, challenges, and how they might be tested through future observations and experiments. Ultimately, whether MOND represents a fundamental shift in our understanding of gravity or simply an effective approximation remains an open question in modern physics.

2. Empirical MOND Formulations

2.1 Introduction to Milgrom's Classical MOND Equation

The original formulation of Modified Newtonian Dynamics (MOND) by Mordehai Milgrom in 1983 was an empirical modification of Newton's second law designed to explain the flat rotation curves of galaxies without requiring dark matter. The fundamental postulate of MOND is that at accelerations much lower than a critical threshold a_0, the dynamics of a system deviate from standard Newtonian expectations.

In Newtonian gravity, the force acting on a mass m in a circular orbit around a galaxy of mass M at a radius r is given by:

$$F = m\frac{GM}{r^2}$$

which leads to the orbital velocity equation:

$$v^2 = \frac{GM}{r}.$$

However, observed galactic rotation curves do not decline as expected at large radii, suggesting that either unseen mass is present (dark matter) or that gravity behaves differently in these low-acceleration regimes.

Milgrom's MOND modifies Newton's second law by introducing a function $\mu(x)$ that interpolates between the Newtonian and MOND regimes:

$$F = m\mu\left(\frac{a}{a_0}\right)a.$$

Here, a_0 is a characteristic acceleration scale, empirically determined to be around:

$$a_0 \approx 1.2 \times 10^{-10}\ \mathrm{m/s^2}.$$

For accelerations much greater than a_0, MOND recovers standard Newtonian dynamics:

$$\mu(x) \approx 1 \quad \text{for} \quad x \gg 1.$$

For accelerations much lower than a_0, the equation simplifies to:

$$F = m\frac{a^2}{a_0},$$

which leads to a flat rotation velocity at large distances:

$$v^4 \approx GMa_0.$$

This naturally explains the observed Tully-Fisher relation, where the asymptotic rotational velocity of a galaxy is proportional to the fourth root of its baryonic mass.

2.2 The Role of the Interpolating Function μ(x)

The interpolating function $\mu(x)$ governs the transition between Newtonian and MOND regimes and is constrained by empirical observations. It must satisfy:

- $\mu(x) \to 1$ for $x \gg 1$ (Newtonian regime).
- $\mu(x) \to x$ for $x \ll 1$ (deep MOND regime).

Several forms of $\mu(x)$ have been proposed, each affecting the transition behavior differently.

2.3 Different Forms of μ(x) and Their Physical Justifications

Different MOND formulations use different choices of $\mu(x)$ to best fit observations:

1. **Simple Interpolation Function:**

$$\mu(x) = \frac{x}{1+x}$$

   - Smooth transition from MOND to Newtonian gravity.
   - Matches well with galaxy rotation curves but has issues with galaxy cluster dynamics.

2. **Standard Interpolation Function:**

$$\mu(x) = \frac{x}{\sqrt{1+x^2}}$$

   - More gradual transition than the simple function.
   - Provides a better fit to detailed galactic dynamics.

3. **Exponential Interpolation Function:**

$$\mu(x) = 1 - e^{-x}$$

   - Motivated by certain thermodynamic interpretations of gravity.
   - Ensures a continuous, differentiable transition.

Each choice of $\mu(x)$ has different implications for galactic rotation curves, stability of disk galaxies, and gravitational lensing effects. Empirical fits to data suggest that while MOND-like behavior emerges at galactic scales, different forms of $\mu(x)$ might be needed for different astrophysical contexts.

2.4 Limitations and Observational Constraints

While MOND has been successful in explaining galactic rotation curves, several challenges remain:

1. Galaxy Cluster Dynamics:
    - MOND alone does not fully explain the mass discrepancy in galaxy clusters.
    - Some clusters require an additional unseen mass component, possibly in the form of hot baryonic gas or undetected neutrinos.
2. Cosmological Scale Issues:
    - MOND does not naturally explain the cosmic microwave background (CMB) power spectrum.
    - The ΛCDM model provides a better fit to large-scale structure formation.
3. Gravitational Lensing:
    - While MOND predicts lensing effects, it requires relativistic extensions like TeVeS to fully match observations.
4. Empirical Fine-Tuning:
    - The need for an interpolating function is often seen as an ad hoc feature rather than arising from fundamental principles.
    - The exact value of a0a_0a0 appears to be fine-tuned to match galaxy data.

Despite these limitations, MOND remains an intriguing alternative to dark matter, especially in light of the persistent failure to detect dark matter particles directly. The empirical MOND equations serve as a useful phenomenological tool, but a deeper theoretical foundation is needed for a more complete understanding.

In the next section, we explore the Bekenstein-Milgrom modified Poisson equation, which attempts to provide a more fundamental theoretical framework for MOND beyond empirical formulations.

3. The Bekenstein-Milgrom Modified Poisson Equation

3.1 Derivation of the Nonlinear Poisson Equation

While the empirical MOND formulation successfully explains galaxy rotation curves, its reliance on an interpolating function $\mu(x)$ is phenomenological rather than derived from first principles. To address this, Milgrom and Jacob Bekenstein proposed a modification to the classical Poisson equation, which governs the gravitational potential in Newtonian gravity.

In standard Newtonian mechanics, the gravitational potential Φ satisfies the Poisson equation:

$$\nabla^2 \Phi = 4\pi G \rho,$$

where ρ is the mass density and G is the gravitational constant.

To incorporate MOND, Bekenstein and Milgrom proposed a nonlinear generalization of the Poisson equation:

$$\nabla \cdot \left[\mu \left(\frac{|\nabla \Phi|}{a_0} \right) \nabla \Phi \right] = 4\pi G \rho.$$

This equation modifies the divergence of the gravitational field, incorporating the MOND interpolating function $\mu(x)$, which governs the transition between Newtonian and MOND regimes.

Key Properties of the Equation:

1. In the **Newtonian regime** ($|\nabla \Phi| \gg a_0$), where accelerations are high, $\mu(x) \to 1$, reducing the equation to:

   $$\nabla^2 \Phi = 4\pi G \rho.$$

   This recovers standard Newtonian gravity.

2. In the **deep MOND regime** ($|\nabla \Phi| \ll a_0$), where accelerations are low, $\mu(x) \approx x$, leading to a different scaling behavior for the gravitational field.

3. The equation is **nonlinear**, making it fundamentally different from Newtonian gravity and leading to unique gravitational phenomena, such as the external field effect (EFE), where a system's internal dynamics are affected by external accelerations, even in isolated cases.

3.2 Differences from Standard Newtonian Gravity

The nonlinear Poisson equation introduces significant departures from classical gravitational theory:

1. Breaking of the Superposition Principle
    - In Newtonian gravity, the gravitational potential from multiple sources adds linearly.
    - In MOND, due to the nonlinear nature of the equation, the gravitational effects of different masses do not simply add up, leading to emergent collective behaviors.
2. The External Field Effect (EFE)
    - A key feature of MOND is that an external gravitational field can influence the internal dynamics of a system, even if it is uniform.
    - This differs from Newtonian physics, where an isolated system's internal dynamics depend only on its own mass distribution.
    - EFE is crucial in explaining observations in satellite galaxies and galactic dynamics in galaxy clusters.
3. Asymptotic Flatness of Rotation Curves
    - In Newtonian gravity, orbital velocity typically decreases with distance as $v \sim r^{-1/2}$.
    - In MOND, the modified Poisson equation leads to asymptotically flat rotation curves, where the velocity remains constant at large distances, consistent with observations.
4. Behavior in Spherical and Non-Spherical Systems
    - In spherically symmetric cases, solutions to the modified Poisson equation yield the same results as the empirical MOND formulation.
    - In non-spherical systems (e.g., triaxial galaxies, spiral galaxies with bars), the nonlinear effects of the equation become more pronounced and require numerical solutions.

3.3 Applications to Galaxy Dynamics and Structure Formation

The Bekenstein-Milgrom modified Poisson equation provides a more fundamental basis for MOND, allowing for its application beyond just rotation curves:

1. Galaxy Rotation Curves
    - The equation naturally reproduces the flat rotation curves observed in disk galaxies.
    - It also explains the empirical baryonic Tully-Fisher relation, which links a galaxy's mass to its asymptotic rotation velocity.
2. Galaxy Stability and Morphology
    - MOND predicts that disk galaxies should be more stable against bar instabilities compared to Newtonian models with dark matter halos.
    - The external field effect (EFE) influences the stability and morphology of galaxies in dense environments.
3. Galaxy Mergers and Large-Scale Structure
    - MOND successfully describes galaxy mergers without invoking large dark matter halos.
    - However, MOND faces challenges in explaining the large-scale distribution of galaxies and the formation of cosmic structures.
4. Gravitational Lensing Predictions
    - Unlike Newtonian gravity, where lensing effects are due to dark matter halos, MOND requires modifications such as TeVeS (Tensor-Vector-Scalar gravity) to fully match observed lensing.

3.4 Challenges in Solving the Equation in General Cases

Despite its successes, the Bekenstein-Milgrom equation presents several challenges:

1. Mathematical Nonlinearity
    - The equation is nonlinear, making analytical solutions difficult except in highly symmetric cases (e.g., spherical mass distributions).
    - Numerical techniques, such as relaxation methods and finite element analysis, are required for complex galactic geometries.
2. Difficulty in Explaining Cosmic Structure Formation
    - MOND works well at galactic scales but struggles to explain the evolution of large-scale cosmic structures.
    - Simulations show that MOND alone does not reproduce the observed cosmic web of galaxies without additional assumptions.
3. Need for a Relativistic Extension
    - The equation is non-relativistic and does not naturally extend to relativistic phenomena such as gravitational waves and cosmological expansion.
    - Relativistic MOND theories like TeVeS attempt to address this issue but introduce additional complexities.
4. Constraints from Observations
    - Precision measurements of cosmic microwave background (CMB) anisotropies and baryon acoustic oscillations favor the ΛCDM model over MOND.
    - Some galaxy clusters show mass discrepancies that MOND alone cannot fully explain, requiring an additional unseen mass component (possibly hot gas or sterile neutrinos).

Summary and Outlook

The Bekenstein-Milgrom modified Poisson equation provides a more rigorous formulation of MOND, moving beyond its empirical roots. While it successfully explains galaxy rotation curves and stability, its nonlinear nature introduces new mathematical and conceptual challenges. Future work must address its limitations, particularly in cosmology, and seek potential observational tests to distinguish MOND from standard dark matter-based models.

In the next section, we will explore relativistic extensions of MOND, such as TeVeS and bimetric gravity theories, which attempt to reconcile MOND with general relativity.

4. Relativistic Extensions of MOND

One of the major challenges facing Modified Newtonian Dynamics (MOND) is the lack of a relativistic formulation that is fully consistent with General Relativity (GR) and observational constraints such as gravitational lensing and cosmology. While the empirical and modified Poisson formulations of MOND successfully describe galaxy rotation curves, they are non-relativistic and do not account for relativistic phenomena like gravitational waves, black holes, and large-scale cosmic evolution.

Several relativistic extensions of MOND have been proposed, the most prominent being the Tensor-Vector-Scalar (TeVeS) gravity, formulated by Jacob Bekenstein. Other approaches include generalized Einstein-Æther theories and bimetric gravity models, which attempt to modify gravity in a MOND-like manner while maintaining consistency with relativistic principles.

4.1 Tensor-Vector-Scalar (TeVeS) Gravity

4.1.1 Introduction to Bekenstein's Relativistic MOND (TeVeS)

In 2004, Jacob Bekenstein introduced Tensor-Vector-Scalar (TeVeS) gravity as the first fully relativistic formulation of MOND. TeVeS modifies General Relativity by introducing three additional fields:

- A **tensor field** (the metric $g_{\mu\nu}$), which remains similar to GR.
- A **vector field** U^μ, which ensures local Lorentz invariance and couples to gravity.
- A **scalar field** ϕ, which mediates modifications to gravity at low accelerations.

By modifying the Einstein-Hilbert action with these additional fields, TeVeS aims to reproduce MONDian behavior at galactic scales while retaining relativistic consistency and explaining gravitational lensing observations.

4.1.2 The Role of Tensor, Vector, and Scalar Fields in Modifying Gravity

The TeVeS theory modifies gravity through a new action that extends the Einstein-Hilbert action of GR:

$$S = S_g + S_s + S_v + S_m,$$

where:

- S_g represents the usual Einstein-Hilbert action for the gravitational field $g_{\mu\nu}$.
- S_s is the action for the scalar field ϕ, which is crucial for MOND-like modifications.
- S_v describes the vector field U^μ, which helps maintain a preferred reference frame.
- S_m is the action for matter fields, which are coupled differently than in standard GR.

The modified field equations in TeVeS lead to different behaviors in the weak-field limit compared to GR, allowing for MOND-like acceleration at low gravity while ensuring standard relativistic behavior at higher accelerations.

4.1.3 The Governing Field Equations and Their Solutions

TeVeS modifies the Einstein field equations by introducing a dynamical vector field and an additional scalar field equation. The metric governing spacetime curvature satisfies:

$$G_{\mu\nu} = 8\pi G\tilde{T}_{\mu\nu},$$

where $\tilde{T}_{\mu\nu}$ includes contributions from the scalar and vector fields.

The scalar field obeys a modified Poisson-like equation:

$$\nabla^{\mu}\left(\mu(y)\nabla_{\mu}\phi\right) = 4\pi G\rho.$$

Here, $\mu(y)$ is an interpolating function similar to that in empirical MOND, ensuring a transition between Newtonian and MONDian gravity.

The vector field equation enforces constraints on the preferred reference frame and ensures consistent cosmological evolution.

Solving these equations yields MOND-like acceleration laws in galaxies while preserving GR-like behavior in strong gravity regimes.

4.1.4 Strengths and Weaknesses of TeVeS

Strengths:

- Reproduces MOND Behavior in Weak Fields:
    - TeVeS naturally leads to the MOND acceleration law in the weak-field limit, explaining galaxy rotation curves.
- Explains Gravitational Lensing Without Dark Matter:
    - Unlike non-relativistic MOND, TeVeS successfully predicts gravitational lensing effects, which can be attributed to the additional fields.
- Compatible with General Relativity in Strong Gravity Regimes:
    - In high-acceleration environments such as near black holes, TeVeS recovers standard GR predictions, avoiding strong deviations.

Weaknesses:

- Problems with Large-Scale Structure and CMB:
    - TeVeS does not fully reproduce the cosmic microwave background (CMB) power spectrum or the observed large-scale structure without additional assumptions.
- Complexity and Fine-Tuning Issues:
    - The introduction of three additional fields significantly complicates the theory, requiring fine-tuning of free parameters.
- Instabilities and Superluminal Propagation:
    - Some versions of TeVeS allow for unstable modes and superluminal signal propagation, raising theoretical concerns about causality and consistency.

Despite these issues, TeVeS remains one of the most well-developed relativistic MOND theories, providing a framework that incorporates both MONDian and relativistic effects.

4.2 Alternative Relativistic MOND Theories

Beyond TeVeS, other relativistic theories attempt to incorporate MOND-like behavior while avoiding some of its shortcomings.

4.2.1 Generalized Einstein-Æther Models

Einstein-Æther models introduce a preferred vector field to modify gravity. Unlike TeVeS, which introduces both scalar and vector fields, these models focus on modifying GR using a dynamically evolving vector field.

Key Features:

- Preferred Reference Frame:
    - The vector field selects a preferred frame, breaking Lorentz invariance but introducing MOND-like modifications.

- Alternative Field Equations:
    - The gravitational field equations are modified to include contributions from the vector field, leading to MOND-like accelerations.
- Relativistic Extensions of the External Field Effect (EFE):
    - These models provide a framework where external gravitational fields influence local dynamics, as required in MOND.

Strengths and Weaknesses:

- Strengths:
    - More straightforward than TeVeS with fewer additional fields.
    - Can incorporate MOND-like phenomenology without drastically modifying gravitational lensing predictions.
- Weaknesses:
    - Still faces challenges in explaining the CMB power spectrum and large-scale cosmic structure formation.

4.2.2 Bimetric Gravity Models Incorporating MOND-like Behavior

Bimetric theories introduce an additional metric field that interacts with standard gravity, leading to deviations from GR at low accelerations.

Key Features:

- Two Coupled Metric Fields:
    - A second metric interacts with the standard spacetime metric, introducing a MOND-like force.
- Emergent MOND Behavior in Weak Fields:
    - The interaction between the two metrics modifies the gravitational force at large distances, mimicking MOND.

Strengths and Weaknesses:

- Strengths:
    - Naturally explains the MOND acceleration scale without requiring an ad hoc interpolation function.
    - Some models are compatible with relativistic constraints.
- Weaknesses:
    - Requires additional assumptions about the interaction between the two metrics.
    - Limited in its ability to explain cosmological data compared to ΛCDM.

Summary and Future Directions

Relativistic MOND theories such as TeVeS, Einstein-Æther models, and bimetric gravity aim to provide a more fundamental foundation for MOND while addressing its key challenges. While they offer promising alternatives to dark matter, they struggle to fully explain cosmic structure formation, gravitational waves, and the CMB power spectrum.

Future research must refine these theories, explore observational constraints, and test predictions against upcoming gravitational wave and cosmological data. A deeper understanding of relativistic MOND could provide valuable insights into the nature of gravity, potentially bridging the gap between modified gravity theories and quantum mechanics.

In the next section, we explore emergent and entropic gravity approaches to MOND, which suggest that MOND-like behavior may arise from fundamental thermodynamic or holographic principles.

5. Entropic and Holographic MOND Approaches

Beyond conventional modifications of gravity, a new class of approaches suggests that gravity itself is not a fundamental force but an emergent phenomenon arising from deeper thermodynamic or quantum information principles. These

perspectives naturally lead to MOND-like behavior in certain regimes, without explicitly modifying Newtonian mechanics or General Relativity.

Two major frameworks in this domain are Verlinde's Emergent Gravity and Holographic MOND, both of which attempt to derive MOND-like effects from the principles of information theory, entanglement, and holography. These approaches provide an intriguing bridge between gravity, quantum mechanics, and thermodynamics, suggesting that modifications to gravity at galactic and cosmological scales may be deeply rooted in the fundamental structure of spacetime.

5.1 Verlinde's Emergent Gravity

5.1.1 The Entropic Gravity Approach and Its Relation to MOND

In 2011, Erik Verlinde proposed the concept of entropic gravity, suggesting that gravity emerges as a thermodynamic force arising from the statistical behavior of microscopic degrees of freedom in spacetime. This idea was inspired by Jacobson's 1995 derivation of Einstein's equations from the laws of thermodynamics, as well as the holographic principle, which posits that the information content of a region of space is encoded on its boundary.

In 2016, Verlinde extended his theory to explain galaxy rotation curves and large-scale structure formation without invoking dark matter. His emergent gravity hypothesis suggests that the observed deviations from Newtonian gravity at large scales are not due to missing mass but arise from an additional entropic force associated with quantum information stored in spacetime.

In this view, MOND-like modifications to gravity naturally emerge because the gravitational interaction is not fundamental but instead reflects underlying microscopic degrees of freedom that account for the information structure of spacetime. The acceleration scale a_0 in MOND is then linked to the thermodynamic properties of space, particularly its entropic response to matter distributions.

5.1.2 Equations for Gravity as an Emergent Thermodynamic Force

Verlinde's model incorporates entropy gradients and information-theoretic considerations into gravity, leading to a modified gravitational force law. The key relation between gravity and entropy is given by the entropic force equation:

$$F = T\frac{dS}{dx}$$

where:

- T is the Unruh temperature associated with an acceleration,
- S is the entropy associated with spacetime degrees of freedom,
- x is the displacement of a test particle.

By relating this to the holographic principle and assuming that the information content of spacetime scales with its surface area, Verlinde derived a modified gravitational force:

$$\frac{GM}{r^2} + \frac{\lambda c^2}{r} = a.$$

Here, λ is a term related to the entropic effects and the distribution of dark energy in spacetime. This equation exhibits MOND-like behavior in the low-acceleration regime while recovering Newtonian gravity at high accelerations.

5.1.3 Comparison with Observational Data and the ΛCDM Model

The emergent gravity model provides an alternative explanation for flat galaxy rotation curves without requiring dark matter halos. However, its ability to reproduce large-scale cosmological observations remains under investigation.

Strengths:

- Naturally Derives MOND-like Effects: Unlike TeVeS or other modified gravity theories, emergent gravity does not need an ad hoc interpolation function—it directly produces MOND-like behavior from thermodynamic principles.

- No Need for Dark Matter in Galaxies: Galaxy rotation curves are explained through the entropic effects of spacetime without requiring a separate dark matter component.

Weaknesses:

- Cosmic Microwave Background (CMB) and Large-Scale Structure:
    - The ΛCDM model explains the CMB power spectrum and the large-scale distribution of galaxies remarkably well.
    - Emergent gravity struggles to fully match these observations, requiring additional assumptions or modifications.
- Lack of a Full Relativistic Framework:
    - The theory remains incomplete in its relativistic formulation and does not yet provide a fully general covariant description of gravity.

Despite these limitations, Verlinde's work represents a promising attempt to link MOND-like effects to deeper principles of quantum information and spacetime thermodynamics.

5.2 Holographic MOND

5.2.1 MOND-Like Gravity as a Manifestation of Quantum Entanglement

The holographic principle—originally developed in the context of string theory and black hole thermodynamics—suggests that the information contained in a volume of space is encoded on its boundary. This concept has led to insights into quantum gravity, particularly through the AdS/CFT correspondence, which proposes that a gravitational theory in a higher-dimensional bulk spacetime is equivalent to a lower-dimensional field theory on its boundary.

A growing body of research suggests that MOND-like modifications to gravity could emerge from entanglement-based descriptions of spacetime. In this view, gravity is not a fundamental force but arises from the structure of quantum entanglement across different regions of spacetime.

If spacetime itself is built from entanglement, then the large-scale deviations from Newtonian gravity (which MOND describes) may correspond to how information is distributed nonlocally in a quantum system. This would provide a fundamental explanation for MOND-like behavior without needing to introduce an empirical acceleration scale a0a_0a0.

5.2.2 Implications of the AdS/CFT Correspondence

One of the key insights from the AdS/CFT correspondence is that gravitational dynamics in a bulk space can be reconstructed from purely quantum-theoretical data on its boundary. Applying this idea to MOND suggests that:

1. The MOND Acceleration Scale a_0 Could Be Holographically Derived:
    - Instead of being an arbitrary parameter, a_0 could emerge from the entanglement entropy of quantum fields in spacetime.
2. Dark Matter Effects Could Be an Emergent Holographic Phenomenon:
    - The additional gravitational effects attributed to dark matter might be a manifestation of nonlocal quantum entanglement rather than an actual mass component.
3. Large-Scale Gravity Deviations Are Information-Based:
    - If gravity is fundamentally entropic and information-driven, MOND-like modifications arise naturally from how quantum information is distributed.

These ideas remain speculative but align with recent studies in quantum gravity suggesting that spacetime and gravity may emerge from quantum entanglement.

Summary and Outlook

The entropic and holographic approaches to MOND offer a radically different perspective on modified gravity. Instead of modifying Newton's laws or Einstein's equations, these theories propose that gravity itself is emergent, arising from deep thermodynamic or quantum informational structures of spacetime.

Key Takeaways:

- Verlinde's Emergent Gravity suggests that deviations from Newtonian gravity are an entropic force arising from spacetime information storage.
- Holographic MOND posits that MOND-like behavior results from quantum entanglement effects and the holographic principle.

Challenges and Open Questions:

- Can these theories fully explain the cosmic microwave background and large-scale structure?
- Can a fundamental derivation of MOND's acceleration scale a0a_0a0 be achieved using holographic or quantum principles?
- How do these approaches compare with direct gravitational wave measurements and other high-precision tests of General Relativity?

Future research in quantum gravity, information theory, and observational cosmology will be crucial in determining whether MOND-like modifications to gravity arise naturally from deeper theoretical frameworks or if dark matter remains the dominant explanation.

In the next section, we explore quantum approaches to MOND, including superfluid dark matter models and potential connections to loop quantum gravity and string theory.

6. Quantum Approaches to MOND

One of the key challenges for MOND is that it lacks a fundamental derivation from first principles. While empirical and relativistic modifications of gravity attempt to provide MOND with a more solid theoretical foundation, some researchers have proposed that MOND-like effects may emerge naturally from quantum physics, particularly through superfluid dark matter models and quantum gravity frameworks.

In this section, we explore two speculative quantum approaches to MOND:

1. Superfluid dark matter models, which propose that MOND emerges as an effective force law from the macroscopic quantum properties of a dark matter condensate.
2. Quantum gravity-inspired MOND theories, which investigate whether MOND could be a manifestation of deeper quantum spacetime effects, potentially arising from loop quantum gravity or string theory.

These approaches attempt to reconcile MOND's empirical success at galactic scales with broader theoretical frameworks that are compatible with modern physics.

6.1 Superfluid Dark Matter and MOND

6.1.1 Introduction to Superfluid Models Reproducing MOND

One of the most promising quantum-based approaches to MOND is the superfluid dark matter model. This idea, introduced by Justin Khoury and colleagues, suggests that dark matter behaves as a Bose-Einstein condensate (BEC) at galactic scales, creating an emergent force that mimics MOND-like behavior.

In this framework, dark matter is not made of weakly interacting massive particles (WIMPs), as in standard ΛCDM, but instead consists of an ultralight bosonic field that condenses into a superfluid state in galaxies. This superfluid forms a macroscopic quantum phase, whose excitations generate an additional long-range force that modifies gravity in a MOND-like manner.

This approach bridges the gap between ΛCDM and MOND, as it allows for the presence of actual dark matter while still reproducing MONDian behavior at galactic scales.

6.1.2 Governing Equations and Behavior in Different Astrophysical Regimes

In the **superfluid MOND model**, the dark matter field is described by a **Gross-Pitaevskii equation**, which governs the dynamics of a Bose-Einstein condensate:

$$i\hbar\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial t} = \left(-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\nabla^2 + V + g|\psi|^2\right)\psi.$$

Here:

- ψ is the wavefunction of the superfluid.
- V is the gravitational potential.
- g is a self-interaction coupling constant.

The key feature of this model is that **phonon excitations** in the superfluid mediate a force that acts in addition to Newtonian gravity. The phonon force follows a MOND-like acceleration law:

$$F_{\text{phonon}} = \alpha\frac{(\nabla\Phi)^2}{a_0}.$$

This additional force emerges in galaxies where the superfluid phase is present, reproducing MOND's predictions without requiring modifications to Newton's laws.

The behavior of the superfluid varies depending on the astrophysical scale:

1. Galaxies (MOND Regime):
    - The superfluid phase dominates, and the phonon-mediated force reproduces MONDian gravity.
    - Galaxy rotation curves follow MOND's predictions.
2. Galaxy Clusters (Hybrid Regime):
    - Some parts of clusters remain in the superfluid phase, but others transition to a normal (non-superfluid) state.
    - This may explain why MOND struggles with galaxy cluster dynamics.
3. Cosmology (ΛCDM Regime):
    - At large cosmological scales, the dark matter behaves like standard cold dark matter (CDM), allowing for consistency with large-scale structure formation.

This dual nature of dark matter—acting as MOND at galactic scales but behaving like standard dark matter at larger scales—makes the superfluid model one of the most attractive MOND extensions.

6.1.3 Open Problems in This Framework

While the superfluid model offers a compelling explanation for MOND, several challenges remain:

1. Fine-Tuning of Parameters:
    - The model requires specific values for the bosonic particle mass and self-interaction strength to match observations.
    - It is unclear whether such a particle naturally arises in known particle physics models.
2. Phase Transitions in Galaxy Clusters:
    - Some galaxy clusters exhibit missing mass even when MOND-like effects are expected.
    - Understanding the transition between the superfluid and normal phases is crucial to resolving this issue.
3. Relativistic Completion:
    - The theory lacks a full relativistic formulation, making it unclear whether it can be incorporated into a consistent quantum gravity framework.
4. Experimental Detection of Superfluid Dark Matter:
    - Direct detection of such a superfluid component would require new experimental techniques, as it does not interact electromagnetically.

Despite these challenges, the superfluid dark matter model remains one of the most promising candidates for unifying MOND with quantum physics.

6.2 Quantum Gravity and MOND-like Effects

6.2.1 Speculations on MOND Arising from Quantum Gravity

Another approach to MOND is the idea that its effects naturally emerge from quantum gravity, without requiring an explicit modification of Newtonian dynamics.

Several researchers have speculated that MOND could be a low-energy limit of a deeper quantum gravitational phenomenon, potentially related to:

- Holographic gravity models (as discussed in Section 5).
- Loop Quantum Gravity (LQG).
- String theory-inspired modifications of spacetime.

The basic idea is that spacetime is fundamentally discrete or emergent at the smallest scales, and MOND-like deviations from Newtonian gravity arise from quantum corrections.

6.2.2 Theoretical Constraints from Loop Quantum Gravity and String Theory

Loop Quantum Gravity (LQG) and MOND

LQG suggests that spacetime is composed of discrete loops of quantum geometry. In some models, this quantum discreteness modifies gravitational dynamics at large scales, leading to an emergent MOND-like acceleration.

Possible connections between LQG and MOND include:

- Emergent acceleration scales: Quantum gravity effects may generate an acceleration scale a0a_0a0 naturally, similar to MOND.
- Modified geodesics: Spacetime geometry in LQG may lead to deviations from Newtonian or Einsteinian geodesics, producing MOND-like rotation curves.

However, LQG has not yet provided a clear derivation of MOND, and significant work remains to determine whether a connection exists.

String Theory and MOND-Like Behavior

String theory introduces extra spatial dimensions, which could modify gravity at large distances. Some proposals suggest that MOND might arise from:

- Brane-world scenarios, where gravity leaks into higher dimensions.
- Entropic gravity models, where spacetime emerges from holographic principles.

One speculative idea is that MOND arises due to quantum corrections to the vacuum energy in a higher-dimensional setting. If gravity behaves differently in a 5D or higher-dimensional space, it may naturally lead to an effective MOND-like acceleration in our 4D universe.

However, MOND has not yet been successfully derived from string theory, and current models struggle to reproduce its precise acceleration law.

Summary and Outlook

Quantum approaches to MOND offer a deeper theoretical foundation for modified gravity, but many open questions remain.

Key Takeaways:

- Superfluid dark matter models provide a way to reconcile MOND with dark matter, reproducing MOND-like effects via phonon interactions in a Bose-Einstein condensate.
- Quantum gravity models (LQG, string theory) suggest that MOND-like effects could emerge from fundamental modifications to spacetime geometry or holography.

Challenges:

- Can a quantum gravity theory derive MOND naturally?
- How can we experimentally test superfluid dark matter or quantum spacetime modifications?

- Can a fully relativistic and quantum-consistent MOND theory be formulated?

In the next section, we explore observational tests and experimental constraints on MOND, focusing on distinguishing MOND from ΛCDM through precision astrophysical and cosmological measurements.

7. Challenges and Open Questions

While MOND (Modified Newtonian Dynamics) has achieved remarkable success in explaining galaxy rotation curves and the baryonic Tully-Fisher relation, significant challenges remain. These challenges primarily concern MOND's ability to explain large-scale cosmic structures, its compatibility with general relativity, and the experimental tests needed to distinguish it from dark matter models.

This section explores these open questions and the future directions required to address them.

7.1 How Well Does MOND Explain Large-Scale Cosmic Structures?

One of the most significant hurdles for MOND is its difficulty in reproducing the large-scale structure of the universe. The ΛCDM model, which includes cold dark matter, successfully explains the formation of galaxy clusters, the large-scale distribution of galaxies, and the anisotropies observed in the cosmic microwave background (CMB). MOND, in its traditional form, struggles to match these observations without additional modifications.

7.1.1 Cosmic Microwave Background (CMB) Anomalies

The CMB is one of the most precise observational tests for cosmology. ΛCDM explains the power spectrum of CMB fluctuations with remarkable accuracy, including:

- The acoustic peaks that result from baryon-photon oscillations in the early universe.
- The third peak, which is particularly dependent on dark matter's gravitational contribution.

In contrast, MOND alone does not naturally predict these features, as it lacks a dark matter component to drive early-universe structure formation. Some MOND-based extensions, such as relativistic MOND (TeVeS), attempt to address this issue by introducing additional fields that mimic dark matter effects. However, these models often require fine-tuning to match the precision of ΛCDM.

7.1.2 Structure Formation in MOND

ΛCDM predicts that small fluctuations in the early universe grow hierarchically, forming large-scale structures through gravitational attraction. Dark matter provides the necessary gravitational wells for baryonic matter to collapse into galaxies and clusters.

MOND, however, modifies the gravitational force law, which leads to different predictions for structure formation:

- Faster initial collapse of galaxies: Since MOND enhances gravitational attraction at low accelerations, early galaxies should have formed more rapidly than predicted by ΛCDM. Some observations of high-redshift galaxies support this idea.
- Underprediction of galaxy cluster masses: In MOND, galaxy clusters appear to lack sufficient mass to explain their observed gravitational lensing properties. This suggests that MOND either requires additional unseen mass (such as sterile neutrinos) or a further modification of gravity at cluster scales.

7.1.3 The Missing Mass Problem in Galaxy Clusters

While MOND explains galaxy rotation curves well, it fails to fully account for the mass discrepancy in galaxy clusters. Observations of the Bullet Cluster and other colliding galaxy clusters provide strong evidence for a dark mass component that does not interact electromagnetically. In ΛCDM, this is naturally explained as dark matter.

MOND, in contrast, requires additional modifications such as:

- Neutrino-based dark matter: Some MOND proponents suggest that sterile neutrinos with masses in the keV range could provide the missing mass.

- Additional modifications to gravity: Some versions of MOND introduce additional fields to enhance gravitational effects in clusters.

Despite these efforts, MOND does not yet provide a fully satisfactory explanation for large-scale structure formation, making it one of the biggest challenges for the theory.

7.2 Can MOND Be Reconciled with General Relativity at All Scales?

MOND was originally formulated as a non-relativistic modification of Newtonian gravity. However, a complete gravitational theory must also be consistent with general relativity (GR), which governs strong gravitational fields, relativistic motion, and gravitational waves. Several attempts have been made to extend MOND into a relativistic framework, but these come with their own difficulties.

7.2.1 The Need for a Relativistic MOND Theory

A viable relativistic MOND theory must satisfy several criteria:

1. Recover GR in strong gravity: In the limit of high accelerations (e.g., near black holes or neutron stars), MOND must reproduce standard GR predictions.
2. Explain gravitational lensing: Any relativistic MOND theory must correctly predict light bending and strong lensing effects observed in galaxies and clusters.
3. Match cosmological observations: The theory must be consistent with the cosmic expansion history and structure formation.

7.2.2 TeVeS: A Relativistic MOND Candidate

The Tensor-Vector-Scalar (TeVeS) theory, developed by Bekenstein, was the first fully relativistic MOND extension. It introduces additional fields that modify gravity while preserving relativistic covariance.

Strengths of TeVeS:

- Successfully explains gravitational lensing without dark matter.
- Recovers MOND behavior at galactic scales.

- Predicts different gravitational wave speeds, which could serve as a testable prediction.

Weaknesses of TeVeS:

- Struggles to match CMB observations.
- Requires fine-tuning of parameters.
- Some versions predict superluminal signal propagation, which may violate causality.

7.2.3 Alternative Relativistic MOND Theories

Other relativistic MOND proposals include:

- Bimetric gravity models, which introduce an additional metric tensor to modify gravity.
- Einstein-Æther theories, where a preferred vector field alters gravitational interactions.

These models attempt to incorporate MOND into a relativistic setting while avoiding TeVeS's fine-tuning issues. However, none of them have yet achieved full compatibility with both galactic-scale MOND behavior and cosmological constraints.

Until a fully self-consistent relativistic MOND theory is developed, MOND remains incomplete as a fundamental gravitational theory.

7.3 What Experimental Tests Could Distinguish MOND from Dark Matter Models?

Given that both MOND and ΛCDM explain galaxy rotation curves but differ in their predictions for larger scales, experimental tests are crucial for distinguishing between them. Several key observations and experiments could provide decisive evidence for or against MOND.

7.3.1 Precision Tests of Galaxy Rotation Curves

MOND predicts a unique acceleration threshold at $a_0 \approx 1.2 \times 10^{-10}$ m/s².

If future surveys reveal deviations from this acceleration law, it could challenge MOND's universality.

- Observing ultra-diffuse galaxies and low-surface-brightness galaxies with precise measurements of their rotation curves could help test MOND's predictions.

7.3.2 Gravitational Lensing Observations

- MOND predicts different weak lensing profiles around galaxies compared to dark matter models.
- Future high-resolution lensing surveys (e.g., Euclid, Vera C. Rubin Observatory) could provide crucial constraints.

7.3.3 Galaxy Cluster Mass Reconstructions

- If MOND requires additional unseen mass in clusters, future X-ray and lensing studies could reveal whether a neutrino-like component is required.

7.3.4 Gravitational Wave Propagation Tests

- MOND-based relativistic theories predict different speeds for gravitational waves compared to GR.
- If future gravitational wave detections show deviations from GR predictions, it could provide support for modified gravity models.

7.3.5 Cosmological Surveys (CMB and Large-Scale Structure)

- Future cosmic microwave background (CMB) observations (e.g., with CMB-S4) could test whether MOND-based extensions can match the third peak of the CMB power spectrum.
- Galaxy redshift surveys (e.g., DESI, SKA) could help determine whether MOND-based structure formation models are viable.

Summary and Future Outlook

While MOND explains galactic rotation curves with great accuracy, it faces serious challenges in explaining cosmology, galaxy clusters, and large-scale structure formation.

Key Unanswered Questions:

1. Can MOND be extended to fully explain cosmology and the CMB?
2. Is there a relativistic MOND theory that satisfies all observational constraints?
3. Can precise astrophysical tests distinguish MOND from dark matter-based models?

Future observational and experimental tests will play a decisive role in determining whether MOND remains a viable alternative to dark matter or if it is ultimately replaced by a different modified gravity paradigm.

8. Conclusion

8.1 Summary of the Key Speculative MOND Equations

Modified Newtonian Dynamics (MOND) was originally proposed as a phenomenological alternative to dark matter, introducing a modification to Newton's laws at low accelerations. Over the years, MOND has been extended through a variety of speculative equations and theoretical frameworks, attempting to place it on a more fundamental footing.

The key MOND equations explored in this paper include:

1. **Milgrom's Empirical MOND Equation:**

$$F = m\mu\left(\frac{a}{a_0}\right)a$$

where $\mu(x)$ is an interpolating function that governs the transition between Newtonian and MOND regimes, and $a_0 \approx 1.2 \times 10^{-10}$ m/s² is the characteristic acceleration scale.

2. **Bekenstein-Milgrom Modified Poisson Equation:**

$$\nabla \cdot \left[\mu\left(\frac{|\nabla\Phi|}{a_0}\right)\nabla\Phi\right] = 4\pi G\rho.$$

This equation provides a field-theoretic formulation of MOND, replacing the standard Poisson equation.

3. **Tensor-Vector-Scalar (TeVeS) Relativistic MOND Equation:**

$$G_{\mu\nu} = 8\pi G\tilde{T}_{\mu\nu},$$

where $\tilde{T}_{\mu\nu}$ includes contributions from additional tensor, vector, and scalar fields designed to reproduce MOND-like behavior while maintaining relativistic consistency.

4. **Verlinde's Emergent Gravity Equation:**

$$\frac{GM}{r^2} + \frac{\lambda c^2}{r} = a.$$

This equation modifies Newton's law by incorporating entropic forces derived from quantum information principles, producing MOND-like effects.

5. **Superfluid Dark Matter MOND Equation:**

$$i\hbar\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial t} = \left(-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\nabla^2 + V + g|\psi|^2\right)\psi.$$

In this model, phonon excitations in a galactic-scale Bose-Einstein condensate mediate an emergent MOND-like force.

Each of these equations attempts to reconcile MOND with different physical principles—classical field theory, relativity, thermodynamics, and quantum mechanics—highlighting the diversity of approaches in the search for a deeper understanding of gravity.

8.2 Discussion on the Viability of MOND Versus Standard Dark Matter Paradigms

8.2.1 Strengths of MOND

MOND remains a compelling alternative to dark matter for several reasons:

- Galactic-Scale Predictive Power: MOND successfully explains galaxy rotation curves, the baryonic Tully-Fisher relation, and other galactic-scale observations with a single acceleration parameter.
- Minimal Free Parameters: Unlike ΛCDM, which relies on an unseen dark matter component with unknown properties, MOND achieves similar fits with fewer adjustable parameters.
- External Field Effect (EFE): MOND naturally predicts the dependence of internal dynamics on external gravitational fields, an effect observed in some satellite galaxies.

8.2.2 Challenges for MOND

Despite its successes, MOND faces significant challenges:

- Large-Scale Structure Formation: The standard ΛCDM model correctly predicts the cosmic web of galaxies, whereas MOND struggles to reproduce these large-scale structures.
- Cosmic Microwave Background (CMB): The third acoustic peak of the CMB power spectrum is well-explained by dark matter but difficult to reconcile with MOND.
- Galaxy Clusters: MOND alone does not fully explain the missing mass problem in galaxy clusters, requiring additional components such as sterile neutrinos.
- Relativistic Extension Issues: While TeVeS and other MOND-inspired relativistic theories exist, they introduce fine-tuning problems and potential inconsistencies with gravitational wave observations.

8.2.3 Viability of MOND Compared to Dark Matter Models

The ΛCDM model remains the dominant paradigm because it explains a broader range of observations, from the CMB and large-scale structure to gravitational lensing and galaxy clustering. However, ΛCDM faces its own challenges, including:

- The core-cusp problem in dark matter halos.
- The missing satellites problem (ΛCDM predicts more dwarf galaxies than observed).
- The failure to detect dark matter particles despite extensive searches.

MOND, in contrast, provides an empirically successful phenomenology at galactic scales but lacks a solid theoretical foundation and struggles with cosmological constraints. This suggests that either MOND needs an extension to explain large-scale phenomena, or ΛCDM requires modifications to better describe galaxy-scale physics.

Future observations, particularly in gravitational lensing, cosmic structure formation, and direct dark matter searches, will help determine which framework better describes reality.

8.3 Future Directions for Research in Relativistic and Quantum MOND Models

8.3.1 The Search for a Fully Relativistic MOND Theory

- Generalized Relativistic MOND Theories:
    - New approaches beyond TeVeS, possibly involving emergent gravity, bimetric theories, or generalized vector-scalar-tensor formulations.
    - These theories should naturally recover GR in strong fields while predicting MOND-like behavior at low accelerations.
- Gravitational Wave Tests:
    - Future gravitational wave detections could provide constraints on relativistic MOND theories, particularly their predictions for gravitational wave speeds and propagation.

8.3.2 Quantum Gravity and MOND

- Loop Quantum Gravity (LQG):
    - Investigating whether MOND-like corrections arise naturally from quantized spacetime geometry.
- String Theory Approaches:
    - Exploring whether extra-dimensional theories or brane-world scenarios could generate MOND-like modifications in four-dimensional spacetime.
- Quantum Entanglement and MOND:
    - Examining whether MOND-like acceleration laws could be a consequence of non-local quantum entanglement in spacetime.

8.3.3 Observational Tests to Distinguish MOND from Dark Matter

1. Cosmological Surveys:
    - Upcoming missions (e.g., Euclid, Vera Rubin Observatory, SKA) will map cosmic structure in unprecedented detail, providing critical tests of MOND-based and dark matter-based models.
2. Laboratory Experiments on Superfluid Dark Matter:
    - If MOND arises from a Bose-Einstein condensate-like dark matter, laboratory tests could attempt to create and study such superfluid behavior under controlled conditions.
3. Gravitational Lensing Studies:
    - High-resolution weak and strong lensing surveys could provide definitive observational tests distinguishing MOND from ΛCDM predictions.

8.3.4 Bridging MOND and ΛCDM

- Some researchers propose a hybrid approach where dark matter exists but exhibits MOND-like behavior at galactic scales.
- Investigating whether MOND could arise as an emergent effect from modified dark matter interactions.

Final Thoughts

MOND remains an intriguing alternative to dark matter, providing a successful empirical framework at galaxy scales while struggling with cosmology. Whether MOND is a fundamental modification of gravity or simply an effective description of galaxy-scale dark matter dynamics remains an open question.

The next decade of observational astrophysics, gravitational wave astronomy, and quantum gravity research will be crucial in determining whether MOND represents a true paradigm shift in physics—or if dark matter remains the more compelling solution to cosmic structure formation.

Regardless of the outcome, MOND has stimulated critical discussions about the nature of gravity, dark matter, and fundamental physics, ensuring its place in the ongoing quest to understand the universe.

9. References

Below is a comprehensive list of key papers and sources that cover the development of MOND, its relativistic extensions (TeVeS), emergent gravity theories, and quantum gravity-based approaches. These references serve as essential reading for anyone seeking to understand the theoretical and observational aspects of MOND and its alternatives.

9.1 Foundational Papers on MOND

1. Milgrom, M. (1983). *A modification of the Newtonian dynamics as a possible alternative to the hidden mass hypothesis.* The Astrophysical Journal, 270, 365-370.
    - The original MOND paper, where Milgrom introduces the idea of modifying Newton's laws at low accelerations.
2. Milgrom, M. (1983). *A modification of the Newtonian dynamics: Implications for galaxies.* The Astrophysical Journal, 270, 371-389.
    - Further discussion on the implications of MOND for galactic rotation curves.
3. Milgrom, M. (1983). *A modification of the Newtonian dynamics: Implications for galaxy systems.* The Astrophysical Journal, 270, 384-389.
    - Application of MOND to galaxy clusters and large-scale structures.
4. Sanders, R. H., & McGaugh, S. S. (2002). *Modified Newtonian dynamics as an alternative to dark matter.* Annual Review of Astronomy and Astrophysics, 40, 263-317.
    - A comprehensive review of MOND, summarizing its successes and challenges.

9.2 The Bekenstein-Milgrom Modified Poisson Equation

5. Bekenstein, J., & Milgrom, M. (1984). *Does the missing mass problem signal the breakdown of Newtonian gravity?* The Astrophysical Journal, 286, 7-14.
    - The first formulation of the modified Poisson equation for MOND.
6. Famaey, B., & McGaugh, S. (2012). *Modified Newtonian dynamics (MOND): Observational phenomenology and relativistic extensions.* Living Reviews in Relativity, 15, 10.
    - A detailed review of MOND, including the modified Poisson equation and observational constraints.

9.3 Relativistic Extensions: TeVeS and Alternative Theories

7. Bekenstein, J. D. (2004). *Relativistic gravitation theory for the modified Newtonian dynamics paradigm.* Physical Review D, 70, 083509.
    - Introduction of Tensor-Vector-Scalar (TeVeS) gravity as a relativistic extension of MOND.
8. Skordis, C., & Zlosnik, T. (2020). *A new relativistic theory for Modified Newtonian Dynamics.* Physical Review Letters, 124, 251301.
    - A modern reformulation of relativistic MOND-like theories with a focus on compatibility with cosmology.
9. Sagi, E. (2009). *Propagation of gravitational waves in TeVeS.* Physical Review D, 80, 044032.
    - Investigates how gravitational waves behave in TeVeS, highlighting differences from General Relativity.
10. Bruneton, J. P., & Esposito-Farese, G. (2007). *TeVeS-like models and constraints from solar system and binary pulsars.* Physical Review D, 76, 124012.

- Constraints on TeVeS from solar system and pulsar timing observations.

9.4 Emergent and Entropic Gravity Approaches

11. Verlinde, E. (2011). *On the origin of gravity and the laws of Newton.* Journal of High Energy Physics, 2011, 29.

- The seminal paper on emergent gravity, proposing that gravity arises as an entropic force.

12. Verlinde, E. (2016). *Emergent gravity and the dark universe.* arXiv:1611.02269.

- Verlinde's extension of entropic gravity to explain MOND-like effects at galactic scales.

13. Hossenfelder, S. (2017). *A covariant version of Verlinde's emergent gravity.* Physical Review D, 95, 124018.

- A covariant formulation of emergent gravity theories.

14. Blanchet, L., & Le Tiec, A. (2009). *Modeling the MOND acceleration as a dark matter effect.* Physical Review D, 80, 023524.

- Proposes that MOND effects can emerge from an interaction between baryonic matter and a dark fluid.

9.5 Holographic MOND and Quantum Gravity Connections

15. Jacobson, T. (1995). *Thermodynamics of spacetime: The Einstein equation of state.* Physical Review Letters, 75, 1260.

- Derives Einstein's equations from thermodynamic principles, forming a foundation for emergent gravity.

16. Padmanabhan, T. (2010). *Equipartition of energy and the first law of thermodynamics at horizons.* Modern Physics Letters A, 25, 1129-1136.

- Discusses how gravity and MOND-like behavior could emerge from quantum statistical mechanics.

17. Hooft, G. 't. (1993). *Dimensional reduction in quantum gravity.* arXiv:gr-qc/9310026.

- Introduces the holographic principle, which is crucial for understanding holographic MOND approaches.

18. Susskind, L. (1995). *The world as a hologram.* Journal of Mathematical Physics, 36, 6377.

- Develops the idea that gravitational phenomena may be emergent from quantum entanglement.

19. Bousso, R. (2002). *The holographic principle.* Reviews of Modern Physics, 74, 825.

- A review of the holographic principle and its implications for modified gravity theories.

9.6 Superfluid Dark Matter and MOND

20. Khoury, J. (2015). *Alternative to particle dark matter.* Physical Review D, 91, 084030.

- Introduces the superfluid dark matter model, which reproduces MOND behavior via phonon interactions.

21. Berezhiani, L., & Khoury, J. (2015). *Theory of dark matter superfluidity.* Physical Review D, 92, 103510.

- A detailed formulation of the superfluid MOND model, including its governing equations.

22. Berezhiani, L., Famaey, B., & Khoury, J. (2018). *Phenomenological consequences of superfluid dark matter.* Journal of Cosmology and Astroparticle Physics, 2018, 021.

- Examines observational signatures of superfluid dark matter and its compatibility with MOND.

9.7 Observational Tests and Experimental Constraints

23. McGaugh, S. S., Lelli, F., & Schombert, J. M. (2016). *Radial acceleration relation in rotationally supported galaxies.* Physical Review Letters, 117, 201101.

- Provides strong empirical support for MOND by showing a tight correlation between baryonic mass and acceleration.

24. Clowe, D., et al. (2006). *A direct empirical proof of the existence of dark matter.* The Astrophysical Journal, 648, L109-L113.

- Bullet Cluster study providing strong evidence for dark matter over MOND.

25. Desmond, H. (2017). *External field effect in galaxy rotation curves and tests of MOND using stellar streams.* Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society, 464, 4160-4185.

- Tests MOND's External Field Effect (EFE) using stellar stream observations.

These references provide a broad and deep understanding of MOND, its theoretical extensions, and how it compares to standard dark matter models. Future studies, particularly with new observational data from missions like Euclid and the Vera Rubin Observatory, will continue to refine or challenge MOND's place in physics.

Tensor
Vector
Tensor
Tensor
Vector